

SURINDER KUMAR SHARMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)***VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner is aggrieved and seeking stepping up of pay at par with his juniors who were promoted prior to the petitioner being belonging to reserved category. The petitioner is seeking enhancement in the pay on the basis of catch-up rule. He has already submitted the representations (Annexure P-12 to P-18) to the respondents.

It has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that no action has been initiated on those representations.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that appropriate order on the representations submitted by the petitioner shall be passed within a period of 02 months.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through the representations (Annexure P-12 to P-18) in accordance with law.

30.07.2020

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No