

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-20456-2020 (O&M)**

Sunita Rani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CRM-M-20587-2020 (O&M)

Rajan Verma ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Date of Decision: 23.11.2020**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**Present: Mr. H.S.Batth, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-20456-2020.Mr. J.S.Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-20587-2020.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the complainant.

*(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)***GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. This order shall dispose off the aforesaid two petitions filed on behalf of Sunita Rani and Rajan Verma seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.0186 dated 06.07.2020 at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC.

2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion in the case of petitioner

Sunita Rani, the following order was passed on 27.07.2020:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that she has sold a plot measuring 50 Sq. Yards to the complainant Kiran, vide sale deed dated 6.7.2017 (Annexure P-2) and that now after more than 3 years the present FIR has been lodged on the ground that there is some encroachment on the said property.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner cannot be held responsible for any encroachment whether before or after the sale deed and that the complainant had purchased the property after having verified all the necessary facts.

Notice of motion for 23.11.2020.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. A similar order was passed in the case of petitioner Rajan Verma on 28.07.2020.
4. Today, learned State counsel has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioners have joined investigation and that they are not wanted in any other case.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners are stated to have joined investigation and are fully cooperating with the police and that their custodial interrogation would not be required, both the

petitions, as such, are accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide orders dated 27.07.2020 and 28.07.2020 in the cases of Sunita Rani and Rajan Verma respectively, are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

23.11.2020

Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**