

Dinesh @ Deena

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 267 dated 17.06.2020 registered under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 398, 401 IPC at Police Station Sadar, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for almost four months. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as charges have not yet been framed. Thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

Custody certificate dated 08.10.2020 has been presented in the Court. The same is taken on record. According to this custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 3 months and 21 days and there is no other criminal case pending against him.

Learned State counsel concedes that the charges have not yet been framed.

Thus, in view of the custody period already undergone by the petitioner as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early

date, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

October 09, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No