

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-20699 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 01, 2020

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union Territory, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Vivek Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashu Mohan Punchhi, Public Prosecutor
for the respondent-U.T, Chandigarh.

Mr.Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARCHANA PURI, J.

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Learned State counsel has sent custody certificate of the petitioner through E-mail, hardcopy whereof has been placed on record.

At this stage, it has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that challan in the present case has been presented in the trial Court on 04.09.2020 but however, charge has not yet been framed. In the light of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to pursue with the present petition, at this stage and the same be

dismissed as withdrawn.

The permission, as such, is hereby allowed and the present petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

Since, this case relates to the prosecutrix having 10 weeks' pregnancy, at the time of registration of the case, therefore, an endeavour should be made by the trial Court to record the statement of the prosecutrix and her mother expeditiously.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

October 01, 2020
Vgulati

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No