

Jaspreet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 32 dated 29.05.2020 registered under Sections 379-B, 365 and 506 IPC at Police Station Kotfatta, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been in custody in excess of two months. Challan has been presented but charges have not yet been framed. The petitioners have been falsely implicated as is evident from the FIR itself. Allegedly, father of the kidnapped person saw the petitioners kidnapping him yet FIR was registered after 14 days.

Separate custody certificates dated 08.08.2020 in respect of the petitioners have been filed in the Court. The same are taken on record. According to the custody certificates, the petitioners have undergone actual custody of 2 months and 7 days and there are no other criminal cases pending against them.

Learned State counsel concedes that the investigation is complete but charges have not yet been framed. Whether the petitioners have been falsely implicated or not is a matter of trial and nothing can be said about it at this

In the circumstances in which the FIR has been registered, false implication can not be ruled out. There is no other criminal case pending against the petitioners and investigation is complete. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date and, thus, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

August 10, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No