

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on account of
Covid-19 Pandemic)**

CWP-10593-2020

Date of Decision: 24.07.2020.

SUPREET KAUR

... PETITIONER

VS.

**FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (REVENUE) PUNJAB
CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS**

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction of respondents No. 1 to 3 on the score that respondent No.4 being Numberdar has wrongly attested the pedigree table. Consequently, the mutation was wrongly sanctioned in favour of Swaran Kaur, the mother-in-law of the petitioner to her exclusion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 16.10.2019 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.1.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4. Learned State counsel contends that an appropriate order on the aforesaid representation will be passed within a period of two months.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondent(s) to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as projected in the aforesaid representation and decide the same within a period of two months.

24.07.2020

smriti

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No