

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

**CRM-M-19500-2019 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 10.09.2020**

VINOD @ DEV

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 549 dated 29.11.2017 registered at Police Station Sadar, District Rohtak, under Sections 302, 34 IPC and 25 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.12.2017. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as only 09 PWs out of a total of 26 PWs have been examined till date. There is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner. Co-accused namely Sandeep @ Kala has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 20.03.2019 passed in CRM-M-5794-2019. Thus, the petitioner be also granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes the submission made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

CRM-M-19500-2019 (O&M)

Thus, it is clear that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date and that the petitioner has been in custody for over two and a half years. Similarly, situated co-accused namely Sandeep @ Kala has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 20.03.2019 passed in CRM-M-5794-2019. Consequently, the petitioner is also deserves the same concession.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

CRM-18398-2020

To be listed on 30.09.2020 for payment of court fee etc.

10.09.2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No