

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 10680 of 2020
Date of decision: 27.07.2020.**

Manjit Lal and another Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus to the respondents to pay them pay scale of the post of Clerk, as they had performed the duties of a class-III post and they be promoted to class-III post.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the petitioners are working as class-IV employees and they had performed the duties of class-III employee for the period when they had worked as such and also to consider them for promotion. He also contends that after the representation (Annexure P13), they had also sent a legal notice (Annexure P14) to the respondents, but no action has been taken thereon. He further contends that the petitioners shall be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P14) sent by them.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2, i.e. the Director, Prosecution and Litigation Department, Punjab, to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P14) in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.07.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No