

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20221-2020

Date of Decision: 06.08.2020

Harpreet Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Raman Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep, DAG Punjab

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

(1) The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

(2) Notice of motion. Mr. Rana Harjasdeep, DAG Punjab accepts notice through video conferencing. In view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to call upon the respondents to file their reply, at this stage.

(3) The present petition has been filed by petitioner Harpreet Singh aged 40 years *inter alia*, with a prayer seeking a direction to the respondents No.2 & 3 for taking appropriate legal action against respondent No.4 to 6 or to register FIR against them under the appropriate sections of law and to investigate the case properly. A further direction is prayed for directing the official respondents to decide the second representation dated 07.07.2020 (P1) filed by the petitioner.

(4) Learned counsel for the petitioner based upon the pleadings submits that the petitioner being resident of village Kheda, District Fatehgarh Sahib has a grievance that there is groupism in the village. It is further submitted that respondent No.4 Kulwant Rai along with his two sons,

namely, respondents No.5 & 6 stopped the government panchayat works and even stop the persons who are doing work and give threats and beating to them.

(5) At this stage, learned counsel submits that for the same/similar grievance made in the present petition, he has made a representation dated 07.07.2020 (P1) to respondents No.2 & 3.

(6) Counsel further submits that he has filed an affidavit of the petitioner to submit that because of COVID-19 situation, he is not permitted to personally enter and take acknowledgment of the representation but he submits with responsibility that the petitioner had actually submitted a representation.

(7) Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition, without commenting upon the merits of the case, by directing the competent authority to consider and decide the petitioner's representation (P1) as early as possible and preferably within four month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(8) So ordered.

06.08.2020

Vvishal

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No