

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M No.20115 of 2020
DATE OF DECISION : 23rd JULY, 2020

Munish Kumar Bansal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

=====

In virtual Court

=====

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.158 dated 05.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 325, 341 & 34 IPC, at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur.

It is contended by counsel for the petitioner that the case against the petitioner had been registered on the basis of misunderstanding. Therefore, due to intervention of the elderly and respectables, the matter has been duly settled between the parties. Otherwise also, although the injury attributed to the petitioner is grievous in nature, however, that injury is not sufficient to invite the offence under Section 307 IPC. It is further submitted that there has been no other case against the petitioner except one more case between the same parties. Even that case stands already settled between the parties amicably. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Amrinderjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

Learned State counsel, being instructed by the police official, has submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner. The injury attributed to the petitioner is grievous in nature. He is the main accused. However, so far as the compromise is concerned, the State does not have any knowledge of the same so far.

Learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the parties have settled all the disputes between them, including the present case, and also the earlier case. There is no grievance left between the parties. The complainant does not have any objection if the petitioner is granted protection against his arrest.

In view of the above, but without commenting anything on merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds/surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23rd JULY, 2020
'raj'

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>