

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
110

CWP No. 10569 of 2020

Date of Decision: 28th July, 2020

M/s Sudha Screening Enterprises through its partner Sham Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Senior Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner points out that despite the Petitioner having preferred an appeal, which is provided for under the relevant statute, no decision has yet been taken on such appeal/application dated 22nd June, 2020 (copy at Annexure P-6).
2. Learned counsel for the State, appearing on advance notice, states that the Petitioner's aforementioned appeal/application for releasing the confiscated machinery shall be decided on merits and a reasoned order will be passed within the time fixed by the Court.
3. In view of the above statement, the Court directs that the appeal/application of the Petitioner shall be decided on merits by the Respondents, preferably, after giving an opportunity to the Petitioner of being heard, not later than four weeks from today and, in any event, not later than 1st September, 2020.

CWP No. 10569 of 2020

- 4. A copy of the said decision on the appeal/application should be provided to the Petitioner not later than one week thereafter.
- 5. If the Petitioner is aggrieved by such decision, it will be open to the Petitioner to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.
- 5. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

28th July, 2020
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No