

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

226

CRM-M-20202-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: August 10, 2020

SAGAR @ CHINTU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.280 dated 21.12.2019, under Sections 379-B, 397, 394 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station, Sector-6, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that the accused had snatched the car of the complainant at gun point and fled towards Rohtak side. He also contends that the petitioner is 21 years of age and has been arraigned as an accused allegedly on his disclosure statement while he was in custody with the Delhi Police in a case under the Arms Act. It is alleged that the petitioner had disclosed that he is involved in the instant case and another FIR i.e. FIR No.239 dated 14.11.2019 pertaining to similar incident under the jurisdiction of the same police station. The petitioner has been granted bail in FIR No.239 dated 14.11.2019 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar on 30.06.2020. He further contends that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner in the instant case although he is in custody for over 07 months.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Hari Om states that although the challan has been filed on 09.04.2020 but charges are yet to be framed. He also states that the petitioner is also involved in other cases.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner, who is 21 years of age, is in custody for over 07 months, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 10, 2020

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No