

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-20123-2020(O&M)

Date of Order:26.08.2020

SUKHWINDER SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

On 23.07.2020, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.25 dated 24.2.2020 registered under Section 379-B, 34 IPC (Section 411 IPC added later on) at Police Station Maqsudan, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

As per the case of the prosecution, two boys have snatched a mobile phone of 'OPPO' company, from the victim/first informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that Mukesh, another co-accused has been arrested. Mobile phone as well as a motor cycle have already been recovered from him and he has been granted the concession of regular bail. He further submits that the petitioner is sought to be nominated as an accused on the statement of the co-accused.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Narender has very fairly admitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, it is considered appropriate that let the petitioner join investigation.

Adjourned to 26.8.2020.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the

petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel appearing for the State, on instructions from SI Narinder Pal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the interim order dated 23.07.2020 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

August 26, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No