

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20206-2020

Date of Decision: 16.12.2020

Rahul

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that PW 1 Deepak Yadav and PW 2 Urmila have not supported the prosecution version and have been declared hostile. He, thus, prays for withdrawal of the present petition, enabling the petitioner to approach the trial Court for the relief claimed under the aforesaid changed circumstance.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant have no objection to the aforesaid prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

16.12.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No