

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.204**

**CRM-M-20057-2020**

**Date of decision: 14.09.2020**

Bahadar Singh Choongh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for  
Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.10 dated 22.01.2020, registered under Sections 353, 354, 354-A, 354-B, 354-D, 506, 120-B and 34 IPC at Police Station Sandour, District Sangrur.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was having an evil eye on the complainant and also made lewd gestures to her. He had also moved a false complaint against her and send a message to her for developing illicit relation with her. Threats are also alleged to have been given by the petitioner to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case because he had filed a complaint against the working of the complainant; there is no other criminal case in which he is

involved; under interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency and that the parties have amicably settled their dispute.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Hargobind Singh submits that the parties have amicably resolved their dispute by entering into a compromise; under interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation/cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required.

The petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case; the parties have amicably resolved their dispute through a written compromise; the petitioner has joined the investigation and has fully cooperated with the investigating agency and as per learned State counsel his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 23.07.2020 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 14, 2020**

*Jyoti 1*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No