

**CRM-18961-2020 in/and
CRM-M-20114-2020
Date of Decision: 11.09.2020**

Ketan Baweja

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh Bhasin, Advocate,
for the applicant/respondents no. 2 and 3.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-18961-2020 in/and CRM-M-20114-2020

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/petitioner submits that the order dated 07.08.2020 passed by this court has been fully complied with and the entire amount as was due to the petitioner has been paid by the applicant/respondents no. 2 and 3.

He further submits that in view of the above the petitioner does not press this petition itself by which cancellation of bail was sought.

That being so, the petition itself is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, as is the application filed by respondents no. 2 and 3.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that as per the compromised reached, proceedings would be initiated for quashing of the FIR itself, which naturally only respondents no. 2 and 3, i.e. the accused, would do.

Obviously, with the petition seeking cancellation of bail having been disposed of as infructuous, the order passed in favour of respondents no. 2 and 3, admitting them to anticipatory bail, would continue to enure.

September 11, 2020
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.