

Raj Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

Shatrujeet and another

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for taking action against the respondents for deliberately, intentionally and willfully disobeying order dated 20.11.2019 passed in CWP No.33744 of 2019.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 20.11.2019 in CWP No.33744 of 2019, the respondents were directed to decide the claim in the legal notice dated 29.09.2018 by passing a speaking order within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case it was found that the petitioner was entitled to any monetary benefits, the same was to be released to the petitioner within a period of 03 months thereafter.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed reply. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the

petitioner, who on perusal of the same states that only part of the amount as per entitlement has been paid.

5. Be as it may, order dated 30.09.2020 has been passed by respondent No.2 by deciding the claim made in the legal notice dated 29.09.2018 in compliance with orders dated 20.11.2019 passed in CWP No.33744 of 2019.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition and would challenge order dated 30.09.2020, subject to his being supplied information for which he would submit a representation.

7. Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case any representation is received for supply of any document, which has a bearing on order dated 30.09.2020, the same would be supplied to the petitioner within a period of 04 weeks of receipt of representation.

8. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

9. In the light of the position noted above, the contempt petition is ***disposed of***, as not calling for any further orders except liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 30.09.2020, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

October 05, 2020

'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No