

**S.No.102**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**\*\*\*\***

**CRM-M-19909 of 2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:22.07.2020**

**Amarjeet @ Amarjit**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab**

**.....Respondent**

=====  
**IN VIRTUAL COURT**  
=====

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present:- Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

**\*\*\*\***

**Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 156 dated 27.5.2019, registered under Sections 353, 186, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 3(2) of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984 (Sections 323, 324, 452 and 120B IPC added later on), at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur.

It is contended by the counsel for the petitioner that the case against the petitioner is totally concocted. In fact, the present case has been created against the petitioner as a measure of pressure tactics because the cousin of the petitioner had already lodged an FIR against the side of the complainant of the present FIR; for having caused injuries to him. Subsequently, the present FIR has been concocted. Still further, it is submitted that the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature. Not only this, the injuries alleged to have been caused to the complainant are simple in nature. It is also submitted by the counsel that earlier the Court below had granted him interim protection; and at that time, the petitioner

had joined the investigation also. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation again.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

The counsel for the State submits that the name of the petitioner has specifically surfaced in the case. There are specific allegations that the petitioner and his coaccused damaged the property of the hospital. The same assertions are highlighted by even the counsel for the complainant. As a result, it is submitted that the petitioner does not deserve any concession of bail. However, it is not disputed that the cousin of the Petitioner had got registered FIR against the side of the complainant of the present case. It is also not disputed that the injuries; allegedly inflicted upon the complainant; are simple in nature.

In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds/surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

July 22, 2020  
renu

( RAJBIR SEHRAWAT )  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |