

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10407-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.07.2020

KAMALJIT AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a direction to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to step up the pay of the petitioners at par with respondent No. 3/junior w.e.f. 10.03.2012 in view of speaking order dated 21.11.2019 (Annexure P-8).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage they would be satisfied, if the case of the petitioners is considered by respondent No. 2 in the light of judgment dated 22.08.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22404 of 2019 titled as **Rohit Garg and others Versus State of Punjab and others.**

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel states that he has no objection in case the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is granted.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Director Public Instructions (SE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board Building, Education Complex, Fifth Floor, Phase-8, Mohali to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners in terms of judgment dated 22.08.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22404 of 2019 titled as '**Rohit Garg and others Versus State of Punjab and others**' within three months from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.07.2020

jyoti-II

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----