

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203/2

CRM-M-20061 of 2020
Date of Decision: 18.09.2020

Suraj Bhan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

2)

CRM-M-24414 of 2020

Sukhwinder Singh @ Golu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

CRM-M-20061 of 2020

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner having joined investigation on 01.07.2020, and the report under Section 173(2) of the Cr.P.C. also having been submitted, though a supplementary report is still to be submitted,

presently at least the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the aforesaid order made absolute on the same terms and conditions, naturally, subject to the petitioner appearing before the investigating officer as and when summoned, as also before the trial court.

CRM-M-24414 of 2020

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail', upon FIR no.60, dated 01.07.2020, having been registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Section 306 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioners' case is no different from that of Suraj Bhan, who had been admitted to pre-arrest bail which order has been confirmed hereinabove, and as such, he too is entitled to the concession of bail under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C.

Mr. Khurana also does not deny that the role of the petitioner is not much different from that of Suraj Bhan and further submits that the report of the FSL is still to be obtained.

That being so, while making no comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is also allowed, with the petitioner ordered to be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

September 18, 2020
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No