

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5127-2020

Date of decision : 21.12.2020

Sant Ram

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate with
Mr. Nihit Lomas, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

After arguing at length, counsel for the petitioner submits that
he will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.2 to
protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents
No.4 and 5, with further direction to the said police officer to examine and
decide his representations Annexures P-3 and P-4.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar,
submits that he has no objection if necessary directions are given.

Counsel for respondent No.4 submits that detailed reply on
behalf of respondent No.4 is already there on the record.

In the light of the aforesaid, without going into the merits of the
case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to

look into the matter and in case of threat perception to the petitioner from the side of private respondents, to do the needful, with further direction to him to examine and decide representations Annexures P-3 and P-4, in accordance with law, within a period of next one month, from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 21, 2020

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No