

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.20037 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.09.2020
(Heard through VC)**

Bunty alais Nani

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

-:-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.139 dated 09.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 506, 294, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Division No.3, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid FIR. It is contended that the said FIR was registered against the unknown persons and no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, while further contending he has been in custody since 16.06.2020 and challan already stands presented on 11.08.2020, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to concession of bail as further custody of the petitioner is not required.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Balour Singh while opposing the grant of bail would contend that though no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, he has been identified as one of the persons in CCTV footage.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the challan stands presented and no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, coupled with the fact that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude as due to COVID-19 situation, Courts are not working at their full strength and not taking up ordinary hearing matters, this Court deems it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner as no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/ surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

September 10, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No