

CRM-M-20030-2020(O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20030-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 11.08.2020

Gagandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Sonpreet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 31.7.2020, the following order was passed by this

Court:-

“Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioners father is not on speaking terms with his brother Palvinder Singh since past more than 02 years and had disowned him by giving a notice in the newspaper in April 2018, besides, was on non-speaking terms with the complainant and his family since the past 10 years. Learned Counsel contends that the police complaint mentioning a sum of Rs.10,50,000/- having been paid to accused out of which Rs.2,50,000/- was paid to the petitioner, his

brother-Palvinder Singh and father in the year 2018 stood falsified from duly notarized affidavit Annexure P-9 dated 07.05.2018 given by the complaint's son in which there is no mention of any amount having been given to the petitioner, his brother or father, by the complainant or by his father. Learned counsel contends that the same goes to show that the subsequent complaint implicating the petitioner, his brother and father is absolutely false.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Manreet Singh Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State, while Mr. Balbir S. Jaswal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant. Learned Counsel request for time to file reply and to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 11.08.2020.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within 01 week from today and to fully cooperate with the police. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on ad-interim pre-arrest bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., failing which the interim

protection granted to him shall stand vacated.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baljeet Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, cooperated and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the order dated 31.7.2020 is hereby made absolute.

Petition stands allowed.

11.08.2020	(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
rekha	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No