

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10372 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 20.07.2020

Dr. Jatinder Juneja & anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Anu Pal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing (Webex App software).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in service candidates who have applied for admission to the PG course in respect of which counseling is being conducted by respondent No.3. He submits that though the petitioners have filed all the necessary documents, but respondent No.3 is not treating the petitioners to be an in service candidates and denying them the necessary 30% incentive marks which would enable the petitioners to obtain admission as in service candidates. It is stated that they have filed representations including e-mails to the respondents but the same have not yielded any result. Hence this petition.

Though it is stated that an advance copy of the petition has been served upon respondent No.3 and its counsel Shri Sukhdeep Singh Brar, Advocate, but inspite of the efforts of the Court staff he could not be established contact with.

In the circumstances, the petition filed by the petitioners is disposed of directing the Deputy Advocate General, who is appearing for the State of Punjab, to inform the authorities of respondent No.3 to immediately and forthwith take up the representation of the petitioners and take a decision thereon during counseling itself. And in case the petitioners are found entitled to the necessary benefits, the same be extended to them.

The aforesaid direction is required to be complied with by respondent No.3 forthwith as today is the last date of counseling. We request the learned Deputy Advocate General to orally convey the orders passed by this Court to the authorities concerned. It is, however, made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

A copy of this order be supplied to the learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

20.07.2020
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No