

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-1382-2020

Date of decision: 15.9.2020

Sheetal Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Yadav

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr.Vivek Singh, Advocate for  
Mr.Harish Mehla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondent

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

In pursuance of judgment dated 31.1.2020 passed in CWP-6046-2019, a speaking order dated 11.9.2020 passed by Estate Officer, HSVP, Rewari has been passed. Copy thereof has been circulated by learned counsel for the respondent, which is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

The operative of the speaking order reads as under:-

“Pursuantly, in terms of the direction issued by HQ and conveyed vide his letter dated 10.06.2020, on the grievance/ issue raised by the petitioner in his petition, the allotment price is re-calculated accordingly by the Account Branch. As per re-calculation made by the Account Branch, now challenged allotment price/ rates have been modified taken into considered view on the conclusive issue. Modified allotment price is to the effect that allotment was issued to the petitioner/ allottee on the

earlier current rate @ 22,500/- per Sq. mtr. (as earlier tentative price 5209313/-) and as per fresh calculation, the allotment rate is determined @ 14,945/- per Sq. mtr. (now comes allotment price Rs.3295373/- + 'GP' additional cost @ 5% of allotment price i.e. Rs.164769/-) instead of 22,500/- and allotment price/ rate has been reduced from Rs.22,500/- to 14,945/- i.e. @ 7555 per Sq. mtr. (7555 x 220.50 Sq. mtr. Rs.1749171/-) in the earlier original allotment price. In compliance of the order dated 31.01.2020, after re-calculation, now allotment price Rs.3460142/- is to be charged from the petitioner/ allottee. Therefore, the case/ claim of the petitioner/ allottee has been reconsidered and allotment price also stands modified. The order passed and concerned also is being informed accordingly.”

In this view of the matter, the rule is discharged.

Learned arguing counsel for the petitioner is stated to be in personal difficulty. In case, he still feels that the speaking order does not reflect on all aspects of the matter, in that eventuality, the petitioner shall have the liberty to revive the present petition.

15.9.2020

gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned?**

**Yes / No**

**Whether reportable?**

**Yes / No**