

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19936-2020

Date of Decision :22.07.2020

Parminder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.94 dated 30.06.2020, registered under Sections 353, 186, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (332, 448 and 506 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Model Town, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

3. Learned counsel contends that it is factually incorrect that the petitioner manhandled the Executive Officer of the Trust, therefore, denial of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the said premise is legally unjustifiable.

4. Notice of motion. Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on the basis of telephonic instructions from ASI, Sudarshan Kumar, states that as per video recording, the petitioner was merely present along with his brother/co-accused at the time of incident and did not manhandle the Executive

5. In view of the position as noted above, the petition for anticipatory bail is allowed. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation within ten days from today and to fully cooperate in the investigation. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner be released on pre-arrest bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Petition disposed of as above.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

July 22, 2020

‘PS/Rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*