

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19864-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.07.2020**

Ghatola alias Vijay Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case arising from FIR No.166 dated 02.05.2020, registered under Sections 380, 382, 384, 379-B, 506, 120-B IPC and 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

The petitioner was warding off the co-accused and the lady who had entered the shop.

Learned counsel *inter alias* contends that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He was not present at the spot. He is ready to join the investigation.

Heard.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the rival

submissions, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, inasmuch as, it was a co-joint exercise of the petitioner with his co-accused to blackmail the complainant by involving a lady which is a unique modus operandi of them. The said exercise, adopted by the petitioner and his co-accused shows that they are of great criminal ilk. The petitions for grant of bail to co-accused Akshay Kumar bearing No.CRM-M-17007-2020 has already been dismissed on merit and that of Ravinder Kaur alias Rubi bearing No.CRM-M-16209-2020 has been dismissed as withdrawn vide orders dated 08.07.2020. Petitioner has to sail in the same boat with his co-accused.

In view of aforesaid discussion, instant petition stands dismissed.

22.07.2020
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No