

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5162-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.07.2020

Seema Devi

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gurbir Singh Dhillon, AAG Haryana

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner Seema Devi aged 37 years has filed the present petition *inter alia* praying for a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner which is endangered at the hands of respondent No.5 to 7 as they are harassing, humiliating and giving beatings to her and are interfering in her personal life of the petitioner and also not allowing the petitioner to go outside the matrimonial house.

Learned counsel based upon the pleadings submits that the petitioner had all-through been suffering harassment from her husband. It has been then submitted that the petitioner had no option but to come back to home but respondent No.5 is continuously harassing and torturing her. She therefore has apprehension that respondent No.5 may cause harm to her and her family members.

Counsel further submits that a representation has been submitted by the petitioner to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (P1).

While this Court refrains from making any expression as regard to

appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction that in case the present petitioner approach the police authorities concerned by way of filing a representation, if already not filed, with regard to the alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined expeditiously by the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (respondent No.2), in accordance with law and thereafter let necessary steps, as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the cases, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2 so as to enable the said authority to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression on merits.

So ordered.

23.07.2020

vishal shonkar

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No