

CRM-M-19765-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19765-2020

Date of Decision: 07.08.2020

Subhash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.61 dated 01.03.2020, registered at Police Station IMT, Rohtak, District Rohtak, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that he did not participate in the commission of crime and that no overt act has been attributed to him. He further contends that no amount has been recovered from the petitioner and the link evidence is missing. He further contends that the deposit slips on the basis whereof the present FIR has been registered, are fake and fabricated by the complainant.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel while opposing the prayer made in the present petition, submits that the petitioner has cheated the bank customers by not depositing the money in their bank

CRM-M-19765-2020

accounts and rather has used the same for his own.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he had embezzled an amount of Rs.43 lakh, which had been entrusted to him by the bank customers for depositing in their respective bank accounts. Even the inquiry was conducted by the bank and the petitioner was placed under suspension. The allegations are serious in nature inasmuch as the petitioner is accused of breaching the trust of the customers and thereby duping them of their hard earned money.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

07.08.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No