

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19839 of 2020

Date of Decision : 22.07.2020

Anand Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, A.A.G. Haryana.
(appearing keeping in view advance copy served).

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

The present petition has been filed challenging order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal (Annexure P/3) by which, the petitioner was asked to furnish an unconditional bank guarantee of Rs.15,00,000/- so as to ensure the presence of the petitioner before the Court as and when directed in case, the petitioner wants his passport back, enabling him to travel to Australia.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that a sum of Rs.15,00,000/-, which is directed to be deposited by the petitioner as an unconditional bank guarantee is not proportionate and, therefore, order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal

(Annexure P/3) is liable to be set aside.

The petitioner is an Australian citizen and wants to travel to Australia and that too for work. That being so, in order to ensure the presence of the petitioner before the Court, as and when directed, an amount of Rs.15,00,000/- was fixed as an unconditional bank guarantee, which is not at all disproportionate in any manner.

No ground for interference in order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal (Annexure P/3) is made out.

Dismissed.

July 22, 2020
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No