

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19713-2020
Date of decision 07.08.2020

Salma

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Dhullat, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.75 dated 21.04.2019, under Sections 323/372/373/148/149 IPC and Sections 3, 4, 5 of Immoral Traffic Act and Section 6 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station, Canal Colony, Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the prosecutrix is the grand-daughter of the petitioner.

At this stage, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh, informs that the prosecutrix has not supported the version of the prosecution as mentioned in the FIR. He further states that after presentation of challan and framing of charges, out of 26 prosecution witnesses, 03 have been examined.

Co-accused of the petitioner have already been released on bail vide orders dated 05.10.2019 and 27.04.2020 (Annexures P-3 and P-4).

Heard.

Keeping in view the orders dated 05.10.2019 and 27.04.2020 (Annexures P-3 and P-4); the statement given by the prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C.; period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial may still take some time for its conclusion, this court feels that there is no need to detain the petitioner any longer. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Bathinda.

07.08.2020
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No