

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5061-2020

Date of decision-06.08.2020

Seema and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.K.Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Articles 226/227 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7 as they have solemnized marriage on 16.07.2020 against their wishes.

On 20.07.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 7 as they have entered into marriage on 16.07.2020 against their wishes.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General Punjab through e-mail, during the course of the day.

*Learned State counsel prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.
On his request, adjourned to 06.08.2020.”*

Learned State counsel has apprised that statements of petitioner No.1 has been recorded wherein she has stated that she is happily residing in her matrimonial house and have no threat from private respondents. It is further apprised that joint statement of private respondents have also been recorded wherein it is stated that they have no objection to the alleged marriage of the petitioners. Copies of the statements have been produced.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the petition for adjudication.

Disposed off as infructuous.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

06.08.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No