

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19628-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.07.2020**

Vikas alias Vicky Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. Prabhjeet Singh Sullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising from FIR No.84 dated 31.03.2018, registered under Sections 307, 34, 115, 325, 120-B IPC and 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Khol, District Rewari.

According to prosecution, petitioner alongwith his accomplice namely Deepak alias Debu, Ankit and Sanjeev fired upon victim Sanjay Kumar and fled away.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that co-accused of the petitioner namely Deepak alias Debu, Pushpraj and Ankit have been granted the benefit of bail vide orders dated 22.02.2019, 26.08.2019 and 22.11.2019 in CRM-M-52829-2018, 19198 & 47635-

2019 respectively. Earlier petition for grant of bail to the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 22.11.2019. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner any more in jail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Consequently, petitioner Vikas alias Vicky is ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

22.07.2020
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No