

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5054-2020

Date of decision-20.07.2020

Sakuntla Kour and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 as they have solemnized marriage on 11.07.2020 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents. It is pointed out by him that the advance copy of the petition was supplied to the office of Advocate General, Punjab on 18.07.2020.

At this stage, Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of the State and produced the statement of petitioner No.2 which was recorded on 19.07.2020, wherein it has been stated by him

that he along with petitioner No.1 is residing safely and the couple do not need any protection. The said statement was accepted by petitioner No.1 as well.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication and the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.07.2020
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No