

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

**CWP No.10356 of 2020
Date of decision:21.07.2020**

Sunita Devi and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr.Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

This matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to Covid-19 Pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to allot the petitioners permanent place of posting for the post of PRT Teacher according to the options taken by the respondents.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the persons lower in merit than the petitioners have been given posting in the districts of their choice. He contends that highlighting this aspect, petitioners have submitted their representation dated 15.03.2020 (Annexure P-7) to the Director Elementary Education, Panchkula,

Haryana-respondent No. 2, which has, till date, not been considered nor a decision taken thereon. Reliance has been placed upon the directions issued by this Court in CWP No.31823 of 2019 titled as ***Monika Kumari and others vs. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 02.11.2019 (Annexure P-4) which was followed by this Court in subsequent petitions such as CWP No. 8101 of 2020 titled as ***Ramkesh vs. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 16.06.2020 (Annexure P-5) and CWP No. 8300 of 2020 titled as ***Narender Sharma and another vs. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 19.06.2020 (Annexure P-6).

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Director Elementary Education, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation dated 15.03.2020 (Annexure P-7) submitted by them within some specified time.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Counsel for the State could not oppose the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioners for decision on the representation submitted by the petitioners dated 15.03.2020 (Annexure P-7).

In the light of the above, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Director Elementary Education, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation dated 15.03.2020 (Annexure

P-7) submitted by the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and taking into consideration the judicial precedents.

21.07.2020

pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No