

Baskari

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that vide application dated 22.07.2020 a copy of the medico legal report was demanded but the same has been refused.

The grievance of the petitioner is that although FIR No. 102 dated 02.04.2020 has been registered at Police station Punhana under Sections 450, 376-D, 506 IPC, the police is not investigating the matter fairly because of which the petitioner is being threatened to withdraw the FIR. A representation dated 05.05.2020 (Annexure P-2) has been submitted, yet no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and waives service.

In view of the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents and filing of written statement is dispensed with.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to take appropriate action upon representation dated 05.05.2020 (Annexure P-2) in

certified copy of this order. It goes without saying that the police is expected to conduct a fair and impartial enquiry.

06.08.2020

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes/ No