

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-19512 of 2020

Date of Decision: October 06, 2020

Ajay

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. L.R. Nandal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 646 dated 12.09.2018 registered under Sections 379-B, 394 IPC at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for more than 1 year and 9 months. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as only complainant has been examined till date. Similarly situated co-accused have been granted regular bail and, thus, the petitioner may also be granted the same concession.

Custody certificate dated 05.10.2020 has been presented in the Court. The same is taken on record. According to this custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 1 year, 9 months and 29 days and there are four other criminal cases pending against him.

Learned State counsel concedes that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date.

Thus, in view of the custody period already undergone by the petitioner as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

October 06, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No