

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-19502-2020

Date of decision: 15.09.2020

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.120 dated 01.07.2020 registered under Sections 447, 354-B, 427, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

The case of the prosecution is that on 19.06.2020, the petitioner attempted to hit the complainant but she managed to save herself. Thereafter, the petitioner assaulted her, tore her shirt and extended threats to kill her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case for the reason that the complainant and the petitioner have a civil dispute pending between them in which on 29.06.2020, an interim order had been passed in his favour; as a counter blast to the above civil litigation, on 01.07.2020 the complainant

filed a false complaint against the petitioner of a incident dated 19.06.2020 without explaining therein the delay in making of the complaint; no specific injury is attributed to the petitioner; no evidence is there to substantiate the allegations made by the complainant and that under the interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but he has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required.

After considering the totality of the facts as noticed above and particularly the statement made by learned State counsel that the petitioner's custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 20.07.2020 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2020
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No