

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5050-2020

Date of decision-20.07.2020

Ajay and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of U.T.Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 9 as they have solemnized marriage on 15.07.2020 against their wishes.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.2 is a minor and the prayer is directed against her parents (natural guardians and other relatives i.e. respondent Nos.6 to 9). The representation dated 15.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) also contains the allegations of threat against the private respondent Nos. 6 to 9.

Since the petitioner No.2 is a minor and is not represented by the natural guardians, therefore, petitioner No.1 cannot be treated as a lawful guardians to represent petitioner No.2 in the present petition, particularly

when he took her away for marriage.

Resultantly, no ground is made out for exercising the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.07.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No