

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 18732 of 2019(O&M)
Date of decision: - 22.01.2020**

Satnam Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. G. K. Mann, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 08 dated 16.01.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 326, 34 and 201 IPC at Police Station Doraha, District Ludhiana, in anticipation of addition/alteration of charge under Section 307 IPC since application under Section 216 Cr.P.C. is pending adjudication before trial Court.

At the very outset, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jarnail Singh states that the petitioners are appearing before the trial Court regularly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that if the application moved by the complainant to add Section 307 IPC, which has been pending before the trial Court since 16.03.2018 be decided within stipulated period and if needed the advance notices be issued to the petitioners.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are directed to join the investigation and if they are sought to be arrested, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The trial Court is directed to decide the application moved by the complainant to add Section 307 IPC, within reasonable time and if needed the advance notices be issued to the petitioners.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.01.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No