

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39377-2016 (O&M)
Decided on : 07.02.2020

Sukhpal Singh @ Sukha

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. P.S.Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. M.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.208 dated 30.07.2016 registered under Sections 328, 307, 498-A and 34 IPC (406 IPC added later on) at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the fact that the FIR in question was indeed registered against the petitioner, however, his summoning under Section 319 Cr.PC was set aside by this Court vide order dated 07.05.2019 passed in CRR No.400 of 2019. It has also been contended that the petitioner is behind bars for almost one year and two months and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the grant of regular bail to the petitioner does not controvert the said fact.

However, he submits that there are serious and grave allegations against the petitioner, who is the husband of the complainant. However, he concedes that only 8 out of 16 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is behind bars for the last one year and two months and the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. In the circumstances, present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

07.02.2020
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No