

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.10094 of 2020

Date of Decision: July 17, 2020

Ashu Kumar & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents to regularize their services as they fulfill the criteria contemplated in the Punjab Ad-hoc, Contractual, Daily Wage, Temporary, Work Charged and Outsourced Employees Welfare Act, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the appointment of the petitioners on Class-IV posts was made on contract basis. It is pointed out that the similarly situated employees had also brought a writ petition before this Court bearing CWP No.9483 of 2017 titled as “Sanjay Kumar and another Versus State of Punjab and another”, wherein a direction has been given by this Court through decision dated 02.03.2020 to the competent authority to consider their representation. The said decision is appended with the petition as Annexure P-5. He submits that the representation filed by the petitioners in respect of their claim was moved

on 09.01.2020, however, the same has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel has further stated that for the time being, the petitioners would be satisfied if the representation submitted by them is directed to be decided in a particular time frame.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Lavanya Paul, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. She states that the claim of the petitioners can also be considered in view of the decision dated 02.03.2020 passed by this Court in CWP No.9483 of 2017.

Resultantly, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of this case, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation (Annexure P-4) and decide the claim of the petitioners expeditiously, but not later than four months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

July 17, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No