

CRM-M-19303 of 2020

Date of Decision: 07.10.2020

Manjit Ahuja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURIPresent: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ARCHANA PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab appearing on behalf of the respondent-State submits that she does not intend to file reply but upon instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, she brings to the notice of this Court that the applications which have been brought up on record as Annexures P-1 and P-2, have been marked for inquiry to the Superintendent of Police (Traffic), SAS Nagar, Mohali.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents to take appropriate action in accordance with law on the complaint of the petitioner, which he has filed for issuance of action against Rahul Mehra, who has allegedly forged the agreement to sell and tried to grab the property of the petitioner and her son Himanshu Ahuja. Along with the petition, the petitioner has also annexed the complaint dated 12.06.2020 so filed against Rahul Mehra, which is addressed to SHO, Police Station,

Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali (Annexure P-1) and another application dated 26.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) with a request to investigate against Rahul Mehra on similar lines as Annexure P-1, which has been addressed to Secretary, Home Affairs as well as Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali and Station House Officer, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

However, as already observed aforesaid, learned State counsel has submitted that both the aforesaid applications have been marked for inquiry and the same are pending before Superintendent of Police (Traffic), SAS Nagar, Mohali. In the light of the same, the grievance of the petitioner is being redressed in the inquiry so marked to the Superintendent of Police (Traffic), SAS Nagar, Mohali.

As such, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to ensure about the completion of the inquiry within a period of three months and to initiate further appropriate action, if so required, in accordance with law and of course, opportunity of hearing should be granted to the petitioner before passing any appropriate order on the inquiry.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

October 7, 2020
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No