

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRWP-4945-2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.11.2020**

KAJAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Anureet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from the private respondents.

Learned State counsel has filed an affidavit wherein it is stated that the statement of petitioner No.1 has been recorded that she does not apprehend any threat from the private respondents. Copy of the affidavit is also annexed with the reply.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the SHO of the concerned police station has assured the petitioners that in case they apprehend any threat, they can approach him. He also contends that in view of the reply filed by the respondents, he does not press this petition at this stage.

The petition stands disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

23.11.2020

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No