

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP No.10053 of 2020**  
**Date of Decision: 20.07.2020**

\*\*\*\*

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. SK Malik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG Haryana

\*\*\*\*

**GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)**

(1) The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

(2) Notice of motion. Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice through video conferencing. In view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to call upon the respondents to file their reply, at this stage.

(3) The present writ petition has been filed by petitioner Manoj Kumar aged 42 years, *inter alia*, with a prayer seeking quashing of the order dated 24.05.2018 (P5) vide which case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of TGT Sanskrit has been rejected on the ground that he does not possess the qualification of B.Ed.

(4) Learned counsel based upon the pleadings in the petition submits that some of the similarly-situated employees having same grievance approached this Court through various writ petitions. He submits that the writ-petitioners were allowed the benefit in compliance of the judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No.12143 of 2016 (Suresh Chander**

**& Ors. vs. State of Haryana & Ors.)** decided on 12.09.2018 (P8) and the

respondents even issued the promotion order of the petitioners therein on 30.07.2018, 30.08.2019, 11.09.2019, 18.09.2018 (P9 colly).

(5) Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the aforementioned grievance/demand, the petitioner has got served a legal notice dated 16.03.2020 (P7) which has not been decided by the authorities till date.

(6) Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition, without commenting upon the merits of the case, by directing the competent authority to consider and decide the petitioner's claim as raised in his representation (P7) within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(7) Ordered accordingly.

20.07.2020  
Vvishal

**(Girish Agnihotri)**  
**Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?  
2. Whether reportable?

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**