

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP No.4973 of 2020
Date of Decision:17.07.2020

HEENA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Pushpinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have married to each other against the wishes of the family members of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana but to no avail. In these circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is

disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2020

Jyoti-IT Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No