

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

**CWP-10822-2019 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 12.02.2020**

Urmila

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)**

Widow of late Sh. Raj Kumar has filed the instant writ petition seeking compassionate appointment on a suitable post on the basis that her husband, who was serving on a Class-IV post under the Municipal Council, Dhaliwal died in harness on the intervening night of 24/25.11.2017.

Pleadings on record only indicate that husband of the petitioner late Sh. Raj Kumar had been engaged on a Class-IV post on D.C rates. There is no document much less an averment made in the petition which would reflect that the services of late Sh. Raj Kumar had been regularized.

On a previous date of hearing counsel had sought time to place on record the order whereby services of Raj Kumar (since deceased) had been regularized so as to press the claim raised in the petition.

During the course of resumed hearing today, counsel representing the petitioner has fairly conceded that services of Raj Kumar had never been regularized and he was working on D.C rates till the time of his death.

There is no policy/instructions relied upon by the petitioner under which a claim for compassionate appointment would be admissible even qua an employee, who has died while working on D.C rates. Rather

counsel admits that such claim of compassionate appointment would be confined only with regard to a regular employee, who may have died in service.

In view of the above, the prayer made in the instant petition cannot be accepted.

Dismissed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**12.02.2020**

lucky

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |