

110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10071 of 2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.07.2020

Rina Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ashok Kumar Verma**

Present: Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

The petitioner, President of the Municipal Committee, Mahendergarh is aggrieved against the letter dated 01.07.2020 (Annexure P-14), letter dated 02.07.2020 (Annexure P-15) and letter dated 02.07.2020 (Annexure P-16).

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that an inquiry has been marked by the Deputy Commissioner, Narnaul (Respondent No.3) to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Narnaul (Respondent No.4), on a complaint (Annexure P-13), made by the Municipal Councillors. It is submitted that the petitioner has already made a representation/reply to the complaint (Annexure P-17). Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued by this Court to respondents No.3 and 4 to expedite the inquiry proceedings and decide the same as early as possible but preferably within a period of four weeks' so that development work of the Municipal Committee may not be stalled.

Although, we have not issued notice in this petition but at this stage, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has been served with an advance copy of the writ petition, has put in appearance

on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.7 and 10 to 12, submitted that they have no objection, if the prayer made by the petitioner is allowed and an appropriate direction be issued to respondents No.3 and 4 to complete the inquiry in the time prescribed by this Court.

After hearing counsel for the parties and keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we dispose of this writ petition, while maintaining the impugned orders, with a direction to respondents No.3 and 4, who are in seisin of the inquiry proceedings to complete the same within a period of four weeks' from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and after providing ample opportunity of hearing to the complainants and the petitioner as well as other stake holders and by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

[ASHOK KUMAR VERMA]
JUDGE

17.07.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No