

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCp-1333-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-20.7.2020

LEHNA SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

DHARMENDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court)*

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against respondents for alleged violation of order dated 14.11.2019.
2. The petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of filing CWP-30775-2019 seeking issuance of a direction to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to take action against respondent No.5-Reena Devi (*Sarpanch*) for illegal encroachment of '*Johar*' land and to take further action on application dated 1.10.2019.
3. The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of vide order dated 14.11.2019, the operative part of which reads as follow:-

“In view of the above, without advert to the merits of the case,
the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent
No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to consider and decide the

applications dated 01.10.2019 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

4. Notice of motion.
5. At this stage, Mr. Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana, to whom an advance copy of petition has already been furnished accepts notice on behalf of the respondents has informed that the encroachment made by Reena Devi- ‘*Sarpanch*’ has been removed and that proceedings have been initiated against Reena Devi- ‘*Sarpanch*’ by serving a charge-sheet upon her.
6. In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned State counsel, this Court is of the opinion that the directions as issued vide order dated 14.11.2019 have been complied with. In these circumstances, there is no ground for initiating contempt proceedings against the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat and the petition is rendered infructuous & is disposed of as such.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

20.7.2020
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No