

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
113 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CWP-9929-2020

Date of decision: 16.07.2020

HARI SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

**DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. AND OTHERS
....RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, prays for liberty to withdraw the present writ petition as he has already pointed out the flaws and illegalities in the departmental preliminary enquiry which has been held against the petitioner in response to the show cause notice dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-5). He is apprehensive that the Superintending Engineer-respondent No. 1 will not consider the said plea of the petitioner prior to passing the order in pursuance to the show cause notice.

This assertion of the counsel for the petitioner is misplaced as the Court is sanguine that when an authority has given a show cause notice and a reply/response is given by the employee, all grounds taken therein will be duly considered by the competent authority before taking a decision on the show cause notice.

In the considered view of this Court, the present petition, at this stage, is premature and is disposed of as such with the above observations.

July 16, 2020
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No